

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS - 1963 - A

FILE COPY

到

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
100014-77-C-0474-9	Z. GOVT ACCESSION HO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
SPECIES-SPECIFIC DENSITIES OF STATES OF GA AND AS IN THE CHEMISORPTION OF OXYGEN ON GAAS(110)		5. Type of REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(*) K. D. Childs and M. G. Lagally		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*) NOOO14-77-C-0474
Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System, 750 University Ave., Madison, WI 53706		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, YASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR 322-072
Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA 22217		12. REPORT DATE September 15, 1983  13. NUMBER OF PAGES 36
Office of Naval Research Branch Office Chicago 536 S. Clark Street, Room 286 Chicago, IL 60605		Unclassified  15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, Il dillerent from Report)

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

DTIC FLECTE NOV 2 1983

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

Submitted to Physical Review

D

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number)

Surfaces, semiconductors, GaAs(110), local electronic properties, Auger line shapes, oxygen chemisorption.

20 ARSI RACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number)


Auger line shape measurements have been made to extract the variation in the local charge distribution around Ga and As sites for chemisorption of oxygen on cleaved and sputter-etched GaAs(110). A significant variation with changing 0 coverage is observed in the Ga spectrum, with a much more subtle change in the As spectrum. Conclusions on the nature of the bonding and on the mechanism for adsorption at both low and high coverages are drawn from these spectra.

DD 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

5/N 0102- LF- 014- 6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Date Entered)





OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract No. N00014-77-C-04/4

Project No. 322-072

TECHNICAL REPORT-9

SPECIES-SPECIFIC DENSITIES OF STATES OF Ga AND AS IN THE CHEMISORPTION OF OXYGEN ON GaAs(110)

by

K. D. Childs and M. G. Lagally
Department of Metallurgical and Mineral Engineering
and the Materials Science Center
University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

September 15, 1983

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

SPECIFS-SPECIFIC DENSITIES OF STATES OF GA AND AS IN THE CHEMISORPTION OF DAYGEN ON GAAS(110)\*

K. D. Childs and M. G. Lagally

Repartment of Metallurgical and Mineral Engineering
and Materials Science Center
University of Misconsin
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

\*Research Supported by UNK

Auger line shape measurements have been made to extract the variation in the local charge distribution around the and As sites for chemisorption of oxygen on cleaved and sputter-etched GaAs(110). A significant variation with changing 0 coverage is observed in the the spectrum, with a much anne subtle change in the As spectrum. Conclusions on the nature of the bonding and on the mechanism for adsorption at both low and high coverages are drawn from these spectra.

#### INTRODUCT TOR

electron energy loss spectra as a function of U exposure, with some supporting hands between the and As surface alons, (2) separate tos-1 and As-0 bunds, (5) surface oxides, (4) and more complex arrangements. (11) Theoretical results if care is taken to avoid atomic oxygen in the exposure. [4-8] Atomic oxygen with a high defect density adsorbs oxygen faster than one with a low density, (3,9,12) these conclusions are in the main drawn on the basis of core-level chemical shifts and changes in valence band photoemission and and x-ray or ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy that both Ga and As atoms or  $\upsilon = 0.05$  to  $0.1^{\left(4.5\right)}$  If a molecularly adsorbed state exists at all it exists only below this coverage, i.e., the chemisorption of U is dissociative over most and possibly all of the coverage range, (1-8) thetects on the surface dissociation of U2 molecules, causing the chemisorption of atomic oxygen even is adsorbed at a much faster rate than is molecular oxygen,[1,8,11] A surface evidence from 1810 measurements. A number of models for the adsorption site and the resultant "surface molecule" have been proposed, including U bridge indicate, however, that it may not be straightforward to draw conclusions Because of the possible technological importance of outdes on 111-8 compounds, the adsorption of oxygen on bads surfaces has been extensively chemical interaction between 0 and the Ga or As atoms on GaAs(110) has the results of these studies can be summarized as follows. It is generally believed on the hasis of electron energy loss spectroscopy, low-energy electron diffraction, in tads(110) participate in bunding to U.(1-6) Inco adsurption regimes appear appear to be impurtant both in providing initial adsorption sites and in the investigated. In particular the adsorption site and the nature of the dividing line roughly at a generated considerable experimental and theoretical effort. ~ E E exist,

particular, core-core-valence Auyer transitions are readily related to a loss peaks, because adsorption on one kind of site (e.g., As) may cause charge misteading, (10,11,14-18) in some of these calculations (17) it is possible to When compared to such calculations, a neasurement of the local, or species-specific, valence band density of states process, the probability of core hole fails off as  $1/R^4$ , and the integrated Auyer current as  $1/R^4$ , (19) in specificatly of the Auger process has been demonstrated experimentally for a number of materials (20-23) and a comparison between experimental and theoretical (20) results has been made for clean GaAs(110), (21) liere we report un measurements of core-core-valence Auger transitions of Ga and As as a function of oxygen exposure at room temperature for both cleaved baks(110) and measurements Auger lineshapes that can be interpreted as a lingerprint of the species-specific density of states around the (m and As sites, and fullow species. As a consequence, the interpretation of specifiescopic results may be project out the local density of status at each species as a function of the bunding geometry of the adsurbate and to tollow changes in this local density may aid in determining the bunding site and the local chemical changes that Such a measurement is possible by observing for each species of interest an Auger transition that involves the valence band. finding the "up" electron in an Auger transition a distance H from the initial extract from species, thus affecting the charge distribution around about the bonding sites simply from chemical shifts or the behavior of = species-specific valence band density of states, (20,21) ž demayed by sputter etching. because of the dipule-dipole nature of the Auger changes in these lines with oxygen coverage. of states as a function of coverage. occur upon chemisorption. peen GaAs (110) that has transfer between

#### II, I XI'I KIMI NI AI

The state of the s

11-7

measurements at different doses and comparing these. (26) the La and As M<sub>I</sub>N<sub>18</sub>V lines were signal averaged for periods between 10 and 20 minutes, providing a providing very youd surlace sensitivity. A backscattered-electron spectrum was taken at a primary-beam energy such that the elastically scattered backscallered-electron spectrum serves to represent the electron-energy-loss The backscattered-electron spectrum was deconvoluted from the data using the van Cittert iterative Additionally, torentzian functions representative of the two core levels involved in the CLV transitions under investigation deconvoluted from the data to eliminate core level broadening from the determined by pressure of less than IAIO-10 torr on n-type, le-doped GaAs crystals. (24) in 60 lattice constants (the instrumental resolution) in any direction on the crystal surface. The disordered surface was prepared by sputter-etching the Anyer lines were measured with a single-pass cylindrical mirror analyzer using and phase detection for differentiation of the Auger signal. mirror-like (110) surfaces with very few macroscopic striations the experiments were conducted in an tun-pumped WIV system with a base No lilly pattern is observable after this sputter-etching. rr5.(31) occurs at the same energy as the Auger data. and a step density, measured independently by  $lklu_i^{\{2b\}}$  of less than These Auyer transitions ossible electron beam effects were carefully monitored by and anvil to the minimum of the electron mean Independently measuring the relevant core level widths using ¥.je employing a knife edge the widths of these lorentzions instrument broadening functions,(27-29) Signal-to-noise ratio of approximately 10. in vacuum, decunvolution method. (30) kinetic energies close were cleaved Auger Uneshapes. surface. 2 eV studulation electron peak that yielded Salumples

function was finally corrected for the chanying energy resolution of the analyzer over the energy range of the Anger line. The result is the Anger transition species-specific valence band density of states. Inis procedure has been discussed in detail elsewhere. (32)

The cleaved and sputter-etched surfaces were exposed to doses from languages to 10<sup>13</sup> Langualis of oxygen. All oxygen exposures, rather than being cumulative, were made on freshly cleaved or freshly sputter-etched surfaces. Laposures up to 10<sup>5</sup> i were made with continously Howing U<sub>2</sub> from an oxygen permeation tube at pressures between 10<sup>-8</sup> and 10<sup>-4</sup> forr for times varying between 15 and 25 minutes. The 10<sup>6</sup>, 3 x 10<sup>11</sup>, and 10<sup>13</sup> L exposures were made by filling the chamber, for each exposure, with oxygen from a fresh one-liter bottle of research-purity oxygen, and exposing the sample for different amounts of time. For all exposures up to 10<sup>6</sup> L the pressure was not in direct line of slight with the ion gauge. Although the sample surface was not in direct line of slight with the ion gauge, no effort was made to prevent excited or atomic oxygen formation. For the Jx10<sup>11</sup> L and 10<sup>13</sup> L exposures the pressures were calculated from the ratio of the volumes of the 1 liter oxygen bottle and the vacuum chamber. These pressures may be uncertain by a factor of ½2. Before any Auger data were taken, the chamber was pumped into the 10<sup>-9</sup> for range.

3

Special attention was paid to the influence of  $\Pi_2^{(j)}$  on the adsorption.  $\Pi_2^{(j)}$  adsorbs muse rapidly than  $\Omega_2$  or  $\Omega_1^{(j,j)}$  and thus it is important to know the fraction of  $\Pi_2^{(j)}$  in the ambient yas. For  $\Omega_2$  pressures below  $10^{-6}$  tour the  $\Pi_2^{(j)}$  partial pressure was always less than  $10^{-2}$  times the pressure of  $\Omega_2$ . At higher  $\Omega_2$  pressures the partial pressure of  $\Pi_2^{(j)}$  was less than  $10^{-3}$  that of

 $\theta_{e}$ . With the use of a frquid-nitrogen cold tinger, the partial pressure of  $\theta_{e}$ , was reduced by another factor of 10 to 100. At these partial pressures, the influence of  $R_{e}\theta_{e}$  on the adsorption of 0 was negligible.

## 111. CHEMISONPTION OF DAYGEN ON CLEANED GAS(110)

introduced by varying parameters in the deconvolution procedure within physically reasonable limits. In addition to the kinetic-eneryy scale, an determined by rigidly aligning<sup>(21)</sup> the experimental profiles with the theoretical curves, (20) The resulting peak energies agree very well with density of states is p-like, the middle feature "U" at .b eV is s-like, and density of states consists of three regions; peak "D" at the top of the As two locations on one cleavage face. In test for the influence of the 2eV modulation on the spectra, spectrum ?) shows data taken with i eV Anyer shapes (21,32) a broadening of the line occurs. The Ga-specific density the lower feature "L" at -11 eV is of mixed character, (20) the As-specific species-specific densities of states for Ga and As derived from the M<sub>IMaS</sub>V The La and As Mildgy Times occur respectively between 115 and 135 eV and between 135 and 160 eV. Spectra 1) and 2) are the lineshapes resulting from the use of two extreme conditions in the deconvolution routine on one set of data. Spectra 2) through 6) are results for four cleaves and for modulation. A comparison of all of these spectra demonstrates that the data are reproducible from one sample to the next and that minimal distortion is those determined by RI's, (21) although, as has been observed for all other of states consists of three peaks. Peak "A" at the top of the Ga-specific previously been made (21) and compared to calculations, (20) figure 1 shows the Auger lineshapes for the and As atoms in the cleaved surface have energy scale referenced to the valence band edge is shown in Hig. L. transitions.

valence band is y-like, (weak 'f' at .1) of its s-like, and the "peak" "f' between D and F is y-like, (20). Matrix-chement considerations cause the s-like slates to downate the valence band spectra determined from Mp4.5 transitions in these elements, (20).

many market to the

coverages of .8 and 1.3 monolayers. These uncertainties are not included in Our coverage calculation is in yeneral agreement with those A y 20% change in the average nean tree path results in calculations. A 1 50% change in the oxygen sensitivity iactor results in cowerages of .) and 1.9 annolayers at the expusure curresponding nominally to electron escape depths and the relative Auyer sensitivity factors in the model Anyer signal in different areas on a yiven surface. The accuracy of the of uxyyen coverage with exposure. The error bars are a composite of an estimate of the uncertainty in Auger peak helyhts due to instrumental lactors and the observed variation of interplanar distance of 2 A, Measured concentrations for various oxygen exposures were than compared to these calculations to estimate the resulting concentrations of U. La. and As were measured, using the U KVV peak height and appropriate sensitivity tactors. [14] Usyyen concentrations were calculated for a model of O sittiny on top of GaAs(110), assuming no rearranyement of U. Ga, or As perpendicular coverage, u. must be trainm. To obtain the coverage as a function of exposure, When oxygen is chemisorbed on the Gals(110) surface, the Auyer Uneshapes absulute coverages depends additionally, of course, on the accuracy of to the surface and using appropriate escape depths(35) and the these changes, the oxyyen coverages. Figure 2 shows ine variation As 1984 preak neights, with the unterpret In order to others.(2.4.6.8) under yo changes. one monolayer.

stages is shows the Highsy-decided Ga and As syecres-specific densities of stages for different payyen coverages on the cleaved surface. The curves are constant at different coverages, the ta-specific density of states undergoes constant at different coverages. The ta-specific density of states undergoes for the clean surface are already evident at the lowest coverage at which and peak is broadening. The La specific ments in the lowest coverage at which and peak is broadening. The La specifium changes insignificantly for coverages between it is indicated by 1.5 eV to a lower binding energy relative to peak C. With increasing coverage this peak continues to increase in the peak C. With increasing coverage this peak continues to increase in the passify, until at 1 monolayer It is as strong as weak B.

the changes in the As-specific density of states with changing U coverage are small compared to those for Ga. These changes appear to be continuous from the lowest coverage up to one annotable; unlike the changes in the Ga line. The lowest coverage up to one annotable; unlike the changes in the Ga line. The intensity which were dramatic and then became continuous above \$6.0.1. The intensity which were dramatic and then became continuous above \$6.0.1. The intensity of peak \$1 at the high-eneryy end of the As line decreases, with a corresponding increase at \$1 in the middle of the spectrum.

# IV. CHEMISORPHON OF DIYELM ON SPUTTER-EFCHED GASSINO)

(homisorption experiments were also performed on sputter-ettinguistic surfaces. Sputter-ettinguistications an extreme degree of structural disorder in the surface region. The Littli pattern of such a surface is ubliterated, indicating that ordered-domain sizes are less than 3 atoms in diameter. [25] there is thus at hest unity very-short-range order in the sputter-etched surface. In the sputter-etched surface, In the sputter-etched sales in the sputter-etched surface.

A LANGE TO THE PARTY OF THE PAR

STATE STATE

and 421 ± 22 As, measured using the Ga and As 1994 and 9994 Anger peak heights and the same sensitivity factors as for the clean cleaved surface. Because backs can exist as a single phase over only a very narrow composition range, 49.935 - 50.015 atomic percent ta, (36) any Ga in excess of this range will form a second phase. This second phase appears as ta "bubbles" that are of the order of 1 µm in diameter or smaller, covering about 20% of the sputteretched surface. (37) Up to a monolayer of excess Ga uniformly covering the surface cannot be excluded on the basis of the bulk phase diagram, (36) but appears to be inconsistent with the composition measurements, given the areal density of Ga "bubbbles".

In illustrate the effect of sputter-etching on the local electronic properties, Fig. 4 shows a comparison of the M<sub>1</sub>M<sub>45</sub>V-derived Ga and As-specific densities of states from cleaved and sputter-etched GaAs, alony with the M<sub>1</sub>M<sub>45</sub>V-derived density of states from sputter-etched elemental Ga. The major influence of sputter-etching on the Ga-specific density of states in GaAs is an increase in intensity at the top of the valence band, and an overall broadening of the lineshape due to the disorder introduced in the tads phase. (<sup>137</sup>) The Ga line in sputter-etched GaAs (110) can be considered as the superpusition of lines for pure Ga and for Ga in stutchiometric GaAs. The Asspecific density of states shows an overall broadening. The integrated intensity in the As lineshape is reduced relative to that of the because of the loss of As from the surface layers upon sputter-etching.

Ine oxygen coverage on the sputter-etched surface is plutted as a function of exposure in Fig. 5. Several points are worth noting in comparing this Figure with Fig. 2. For the lowest exposures (10 L and  $10^3$  L), the resulting coverage on the initially sputter-etched surface is roughly 4 times that on the initially cleaved surface. While a coverage of approximately one

annolayer is achieved with about 10<sup>13</sup> ton the cleaved surface, one-monolayer coverage occurs on the sputter etched surface already for exposures near 10<sup>3</sup> t. There is no change in the As/Ga ratio for oxygen coverages up to this point. There is, however, a definite decrease in the As signal beyond this exposure. For an exposure of Jx 10<sup>11</sup> t, there is little As left in the sample volume probed by these Auger electrons.

After the sputter-etched surface is exposed to 10<sup>11</sup>1, the total intensity in cleaved surface, i.e., a decrease in intensity at the top of the band and an case on the cleaved surface. The major effect of changing oxygen coverage on As spectrum for the sputter-etched surface is similar to that on the No change is observed below o 11.15. the As M<sub>I</sub>M<sub>65</sub>Y line is greatly reduced, and the La spectrum is similar to that was evident in the spectra from the cleaved surface appears above 0 - 0.4 and continues to increase for laryer oxygen coverages, as was the HiMas V-derived Ga and As-specific densities of states obtained at There are no detectable changes in the La-specific density of states for coverages up to  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{i}\mathbf{5},$  in contrast to the results on the cleawed surface. Un the other hand, the features that develop in the line shape of the cleaved surface at finite 0 coverage are already present to some degree on the In particular, the feature below different oxygen coverages on the sputter-etched surface are shown sputter-etched surface at zero coveraye. At higher O coverayes, the is similar to that of the cleaved surface. increase in the middle of the band. for exidized Ga, as shown below,

#### NOTSETTO N

in this section we summarize and compare the results of the previous two sections and discuss the implications of these results.

Oxygen adsorption on the cleaved surface causes significant changes in the ba-specific density of states already at the lowest measured coverages of oxygen. The lineshape appears to change in two stages, a rather significant initial change below 0 = 0.1, and a more yentle, continuous change thereafter. The As-specific density of states changes much more subtly and appearently continuously from zero coverage up to one munolayer. The ratio of the integrated intensities in the Ga and As spectra increases as a function of coverage. The coverage on the cleaved surface appears to saturate at about one monolayer with a very slow increase in 0 concentration on the surface with increasing exposure beyond that required to form a monolayer.

Unyyen adsorption on a sputter-etched surface causes observable changes in the line shapes only for u > U.15. The changes in the spectra beyond this coverage are similar to those occurring on the cleaved surface. For large exposures the sputter-etched surface shows only a weak As line, Indicating the sample volume probed by the electrons that make up these Auger lines is depleted of As. The U concentration on the sputter-etched surface continues to increase beyond that required for a monolayer as the exposure is increased.

Although the Auyer spectra shown in flys. 3 and 6 do not, of course, give the species-specific density of states directly, but rather a transition density of states that depends un matrix elements and the fact that the final state is an ion, these factors should not depend on coverage, or at worst only weakly so. We therefore believe that the changes in the spectra with changing coverage can be interpreted in terms of a changing local charge density. The

implying an increase in electron density around to sites relative to As possible to say whether the increased charge at the Ga sites is entirely due all likelihood, both processes affect the local charge around each species. The ratio increases continuously with increasing oxygen coverage, lo obtain ligs. I and b the sum of the integrals under the to and As procedure determines only the relative electron density at each species. total charge around each species can be considered to be proportional to the integral under the line, keeping in mind that the Ga/As ratio doesn't change intensities in the Ga and As spectra from Fig. 3 is plotted as a function of Because of the deconvolution it is not possible to relate quantitatively the integrals under spectra at different coverages to each other; hence it is not to O electrons or whether charge transfer from As to La sites also occurs. was normalized at each coverage to the zero-coverage result. In 11y. 7 the ratio of It is not possible at this staye to separate the two effects. for coverages below one

The Auger line of course, gives an average over several layers. At the energies of these lines, the mean free path is such (7A) that the surface layer contributes only about one fourth of the intensity. If one assumes that the charge distribution changes only in the outer layer, the increase in charge or charge transfer for the surface atom must be much larger than indicated in Figs. 3 and 6. Without the availability of absolute intensities, it is not possible to provide an explicit surface layer contribution even if the mean free path is accurately known.

Chanyes in the relative population of states at the 6a and As sites with increasing oxygen coverage can also be observed. They are, of course, inherent in flys. 3 and 6. Higures 8 and 9 show these changes in a more direct way. To obtain flys. 8 and 9, the areas of the Ga and the As spectra

Ξ

more separately normalized to their respective zero-coverage values, making it hange in the coverage range plutted in Higs. 8 and 9 (except, as indicated, lor the highest exposure on the sputter-etched surface), and hence there is no straightforward to observe relative changes in population of the different The statchtometry does not the area under a line from a changing concentration Inis procedure should therefore suffice to determine the population in the different electronic states at different coverages. states that make up the species-specific UUS. contribution to

monolayer of oxygen adsorbed on It and 5) clean cleaved GaAs. Spectrum 2) is in the the specific bushs, figure 10 shows the the specific bus's for 1) spuller-etched elemental Ga, 2) sputter-etched Ga exposed to 10<sup>6</sup> L oxygen, 3) The most notable feature in spectrum 2) is a Spectrum with a sputter-etched GaAs exposed to 10<sup>11</sup> L oxygen, 4) cleaved GaAs with about one representative of oxide on ta; from thermodynamic considerations, this oxide superposition of La lines from  $\mathsf{Ga}_2\mathsf{U}_3$  and  $\mathsf{GaAs}_+$  . It appears that the electronic charge distribution around to for O chemisorbed on the cleaved taks surface is that there appear to be two regimes in O chemisorption on cleaved with a dividing line at u . 0.1, in agreement with earlier The second is that, above 0 20.1, the Galine shape for oxygen-Three conclusions can be drawn with the help of flys. B and 9. The tirst -9.5 eV: this peak is also the one that yrows in the other covered cleaved GaAs (110) can be fitted with a superposition of 3 upon U chemisorption. Hyure il shows a fit of the is expected to be GazO3. (38) similar to that of built Gazuge Ga As ( 1 IU). peak at

both initial surface conditions become similar for coverages above about 1/2 The third conclusion that can be drawn is that the Ga and As spectra for monolayer, even though the exposures required to get to these coverages

he very similar, i.e., the Ga atoms must be strongly involved and the "surface to chemisorb differences in the clean-surface spectra would continue to manifest themselves quite different for the two surface conditions. This tapilies that the local chemisorption processes compete: adsorption onto the Ga bubbles and attack of surface chemical arrangement is the same in both surfaces, which can case, i.e. if the bonding of 0 on Ga were different from that on GaAs, surface bunds that are formed on the and on baks (both cleaved and sputter-etched) compound" that is formed must look like a Ga oxide. If this were Ŧ It is presumably easier understood in the following may. On the sputter-eithed O on the Ga bubbles and thus this process occurs preferentially. bonds in the disordered GaAs. for all coverages.

This model of the surface structure can in principle be checked by Itti 1 P outward from them, leaving the surface tooking essentially like "swiss surrounded by "good" GaAs surface. 3 with this fact is that oxygen chemisurption is essentially a defect-related 7 atoms in the vicinity of these defects. This would imply that regions of sputter-etched surfaces should be similar. A model consistent bonding itself creates a disordered surface, i.e., one that consists of Initially be restricted to atom defect sites and subsequently to surface layer structural detects. In the sputter-eithed surfaces the concentration oxygen into back bonds on the cleaved surface mechanism,  $\{4-1,9\}$  (hemisorption is then initiated at structural detects. disorder caused by U chemisorption nucleate at structural defects and defects is much higher, and thus a higher chemisorption bonding and It is probable that atomic oxygen is inserted into Ga is interesting to speculate at this point why the region of surface disorder insertion of cheese", with

anyular profile analysis, (39) The regions of disorder will produce only diffuse intensity, but, because they are arranged in patches, they will remove intensity from the diffracted beams in a characteristic way that depends on the size distribution and arrangement of those patches. The resulting diffracted beam line shape then directly reflects this distribution, (40) Although visual observations of LEED beams have been made. (8) we are not aware of any attempts to measure actual diffracted-beam shapes for this system.

The increase in oxygen concentration above one monolayer with increasing exposure on the sputter-etched surface can also be explained on the basis of defects. The sputter-etched surface has such a high degree of disorder, and a resulting high density of broken bonds, that oxygen can probably attack to a depth of many average layer distances, allowing "bulk" oxidation to take place readily without a significant "structural" activation barrier. Inco both oxidation is evidenced by the Lia spectrum for high U exposures and the depletion of As in the surface region, as was shown in Fig. 6. It is apparent that a Lia oxide has formed.

be now comment briefly on possible models for the equilibrium position of oxygen atoms chemisorbed on the GaAs surface. As already mentioned, there are several of these,  $\{2,4,5,13\}$  Because of the possibility of charge transfer between Ga and As, it is impossible to say anything definitive about the equilibrium site without the help of calculations. However, physically reasonable models can be postulated with the assumption that this charge transfer is small. For  $0 \ge 0.1$  it appears that both Ga-0 and As-0 bonds form. The Ga-0 bonding at all coverages above 0 = 0.1 appears to be similar to that in Ga-03. Because we do not have access to a variety of Ga oxides, it is not possible to say whether different Ga oxides will produce different Auger line shapes. Hence the determination of similarity to Ga-03 is not

to the oxygen atoms. At 5 is a local probe, but one could imagine the 0 atom sitting close enough to the La atoms for O wave function overlap to occur unto unique. We do not believe that the feature in the oxidized-Ga spectrum is due the Ga core. Nowever, U does not have a spectral feature at -9.5 ey. (4) Also, if the U feature wire observed in the Ga spectrum it should, in all likelihood, also be observed in the As spectrum, unless the U atoms were oxygen bonding does not change above  $\mathfrak v \subset 0.1.$  We arrive at this conclusion in the following way. In Fig. 11 a fit to the Ga line for GaAs with various significantly closer to the Ga atoms. It also appears that the mechanism for coverages of U was shown. The area under the "oxide" contribution to the spectrum can be considered as the oxygen "coverage" on the Lia sites. If the change in this area is compared to the total U coverage, it is found that the two scale. Hence there can be no change in the bonding yeometry (at least distribution. It is not, for example, possible for bondiny to occur initially for u ≥ 0.1) that would produce a significant difference in on Ga sites and later on As sites.

The model of tudeke, (2) consisting of oxygen bridge bonds to surface is and As atoms, most closely matches the constraints imposed by our data (with the assumption of neyligible charge transfer between is and As). Simpler models do not appear to be satisfactory. We have made attempts to match our spectra with layer-averaged charge densities produced in calculations for simple models (17) but have not found it possible to draw a correspondence in the trends of the experimental and theoretical spectra.

There is, of course, the possibility that change transfer plays the major if the species-specific change density. Calculations<sup>[17]</sup> of scates in the surface layer of GaAs with 0 adsorbed in either

the Ga or As show a large increase in the density of states at the bottom of

the LaAs valence band if U advorbs on As, but a much smaller change if it advorbs on Ga. We do observe a change at the buttom of the valence band, but built to the La-specific density of states. At first glance one would draw the conclusion that U bonds to As and that charge is transferred back to Ga. Whereas this may be the case, the conclusion can not be drawn unequivocally without projecting the densities of states in the calculations onto the Ga and As atoms separately, because both Ga and As have states at the bottom of the valence band. [20] furthermore calculations are required for a variety of other sites to affirm this conclusion.

مايع والأوافي

0.1. Below this coverage there appear to be no effects on the to or As core levels and no visible oxygen derived leatures in the UPS valence band reported.(1,3) Erystalline defects such as steps or point defects can play a in the charge density around Ga are in some manner associated with The coverage regime below 0 < 0.1 appears to be different from that for There are however, effects on surface states and on fermi level Band bending due to poor cleavage quality has also been similar role in pinning the fermi level. We believe that the changes observed low O coverage are also observed in bads that has been lightly sputter etched Ga tine shapes at coveray, as low as 0 ; 0.04 give evidence that Changes similar to those observed in the Galline at not fully anrealed, as well as for surfaces that have been poorly We conclude that it is the Ga atoms, in all likelihood occurring at structural defect sites, that underyo the charge distribution changes necessary to pin the fermi level. Morption occurs preferentially at as a strictly sequential process, but rather as a competition between two these defect sites. However, we envision the chemisorption or 0 on GaAs not 5 fermi level pluning has been observed to occur fermi level pinatny. Situations. (1.3) Spectrum. (4) cleaved. changes

simultaneously occurring processes that have different activation energies. Increare the adsorption at defect sites, which we believe to be Ea sites, and adsorption onto EaAs terraces, which we believe "spreads out" from such defect sites, growing more or less two-dimensionally into patches of disordered surface "oxide" involving both to and As atoms.

#### V. CONCLUSIONS

by measuring these line shapes. There are, of course, difficulties with an Mone of these factors should significantly affect the changes in the spectra with coverage, and hence we believe that these changes can be directly interpreted as changes in additionally the difficulty (again inherent in all surface spectroscopies) absolute interpretation of such a line shape, as there is with all electron should be much less of a problem in GaAs than for materials with sharply densities of filled states in the chemisorption of oxygen on GaAs(110), by using Anger tine shapes of transitions that involve the valence band. We have lemonstrated that a fingerprint of the charge density around individual spectroscopic measurements. For one, the matrix elements for the transitions are in general not accurately known. Second, the final state in the Auger in the species-specific Inird, releastion effects may be important. process is an ion, and thus the measurement does not represent the species in a multicomponent system can be obtained as a function of As we have already discussed, that the measurement represents an average over several layers. peaked densities of states, such as d-band metals. have reported measurements of changes configuration. state charge density. local charge

We have interpreted the line shapes in the simple limit of zero change transfer between Ga and As atoms with increasing O coverage. This may not be reasonable, but in the absence of calculations, one cannot conclude that it is

not. We hope that our measurements will stimulate further theoretical work on the subject. We believe that a correlation of structural defects with measurements of localized electronic properties such as these will provide information on Fermi level pinning and Schottky barriers. Analysis of surface structural defects, even at quite low concentrations, is possible using ttlib or RHIED. We are presently combining these techniques with Auger line shape analysis to investigate more fully the relationship between structural defects, fermi level pinning, and the initial stages of chemisorption in this surface.

#### Ack now ledyements

We thank D. E. Savaye and H. M. Clearfield for useful discussions.

#### Kel er en e s

<u>6</u>

- 1. W. faudat and B. F. Łastman, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 15, 831 (1976).
- R. Ludeke, Solid State Commun. 21, 815 (1977).
- II. Luth, M. Buchel, K. Ibrn, M. Liehr, and K. Hatz, Phys. Rev. b15, 865 (1977).
- i. C. N. Urundle and D. Scybold, J. Vac. X.i. lechnol. 16, 1186 (1979).
- C. Y. Su, I. Lindau, P. R. Skeath, P. W. Chye, and W. E. Spicer, J. Vac. X-1. Technol. 17, 936 (1980).
- P. W. Chye, C. Y. Su, I. Lindau, P. K. Skeath, and W. L. Spicer, J., Vac. Sci. Technol. 16, 1191 (1979).
- J. Stuhr, R. S. Bauer, J. C. M.Menamin, L. L. Johansson, and S. Krennan, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 16, 1195 (1979).
- A. Kahn, D. Kanani, P. Mark, P. W. Chye, C. Y. Su, I. tindau, and W. L. Spicer, Surface St. 87, 375 (1979); A. Kahn, D. Kanani, and P. Mark, Surface St. 94, 345 (1980).
- . P. Mark, t. So, and M. Bann, J. Vac. X.1. lechnol, 14, 865 (1972).
- 10. J. J. Barton, W. A. tadddard, 111, and 1. C. P.C. Bill, J. Var. Sci. Fechnol. 16, 1178 (1979).
- P. Pianetta, I. Lindau, C. M. Garner, and W. t. Spicer, Phys. New. 818, 2792 (1978).
- 12. P. Mark and W. t. treighton, Inin Solid Films 56, 19 (1979).
- 13. G. Lucovsky, J. Vac. Sci. lechnol. 19, 456 (1981).

 J. J. Barton, C. A. Swarts, W. A. Goddard III, and I. C. M. Gilli, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 17, 164 (1980).

- M. A. taddard, 111, J. Barton, A. Nedondo, and I. C. Philli, J. Vac. Sci. Fechnol., 15 1274 (1978).
- . W. Manch and R. Inninghurst, J. Vac. XI. Technol. 17, 942 (1980).
- 11. L. J. Mele and J. D. Joannopoulos, Mys. Rev. 818. 6999 (1978).
- 18. R. Ludeke, Phys. Rev. Bib. 5598 (1977).
- 19. V. theine, Phys. Rev. 151, 561 (1966).
- 20. P. J. Feibelman, L. J. M.Caire, and K. C. Pandey, Phys. M:V. U16, 5499
- 21. G. U. Davis and M. G. Layally, J. Vac. X.1. Jechnol. 15, 1311 (1978).
- 22. J. lejeda, M. Cardona, N. J. Shevchik, D. W. Lanyer, and E. Schonherr, Phys. Stat. Sol. 858, 189 (1973).
- 23. J. lejeda, N. J. Shevchik, D. W. Lanyer, and M. Lardona, Phys. Pev. lett. 30, 370 (1973); J. L. Fugyle, L. M. Matson, P. R. Morris, and D. J. Fabian, J. Phys. F <u>5</u>, 590 (1975).
- 24. II. M. Clearfield, M. G. Layaliy, J. Vac. Sci. fectinol., submitted.
- 25. K. U. Childs and M. G. Layally, in preparation.
- 26. M. M. Mularie and M. I. Perta, Surface Sci. 26, 125 (1971).
- 27. J. t. Houston, J. Vac. Sci. fechnol. 12, 255 (1975).
- 28. II. H. Madden and J. E. Ibuston, J. Appl. Phys. 41, 3071 (1976).
- 29. P. H. Van Cittert, 1. Phys. 69, 304 (1931).
- G. D. Davis, P. E. Viljoen, and M. G. Lagally, J. Electron Spectross.
   Relat. Phenom. 20, 305 (1980).
- G. D. Wavis, P. E. Viljoen, and M. G. Layally, J. Hetfron Spectross. Relat. Phenom. 21, 135 (1980).
- 32. K. D. Childs and M. G. Lagally, J. Vac. 5c1. Technol., submitted.

- 13. The relative sensitivity factors for ta and As were obtained from cleaved GaAs, and that for 0 is from the Handbook of Auger Electron Specificacopy, Physical Flectronics Industries (1925).
- 14. H. Lant and M. Munch, Surface Sci. 105, 217 (1981).
- 35. H. Hansen and K. Anderku, Constitution of Binary Alloys, M. Graw-Hill, New York, 1958.
- G. D. Bavis, D. t. Savaye, and M. G. Layally, J. Electron Spectrosc. Nelat. Phenom. 23, 25 (1981).
- 37. O. Kuliaschewski and C. B. Alcock, Metallurgical Hermochemistry, 5th ed., Pergamon, Oxford (1913).
- 38. B. L. Savaye, D. Saloner, and M. G. Layally, in preparation.
- 19. A. latinter, R. Ray Officaction, W. H. Freuman Co., San Francisco, CA (1903).

W. The state of th

A COLUMN TO A COLU

#### Figure Captions

Fiy. 1

アサライナル

STATE OF THE STATE

- Ga and As species-specific densities of states for clean cleaved GaAs(110) derived from M<sub>1</sub>M<sub>4</sub>SV Auger lines. The Ga spectrum lies between 115 and 135 eV, and the As spectrum between 135 and 160 eV. Curves 1 and 2: Mesults for 2 extreme conditions in the deconvolution procedure. Curves 2 through 6: four different cleaves and two locations on one cleavage face. Curve 7: Spectrum using 1 eV modulation. The bottom scale was determined by rigidly aligning the spectra with curresponding peaks in the XPS valence band spectrum.
- Fig. 2 Oxygen coverage on cleaved GaAs(110) as a function of expusure.
- Fig. 3 Ga and As species-specific densities of states for dilferent oxygen coverages on cleaved GaAs(110). The total area under each curve is normalized to that of the clean surface. The oxygen coverage is listed in fractions of a monolayer.
- fig. 4 Ga and As species-specific densities of states for 1) sputteretched GaAs(110) and 2) cleaved GaAs(110), and 3) the Ga-specific density of states for elemental Ga.

Hy. 11

fig. 5 - Oxygen coverage on sputter-etched GaAs(110) as a function of

THE PARTY OF THE P

by, 6 the and As species-specific densities of states for different oxygen coverages on sputter-etched thanks (110). The total area under each curve is normalized to that of the clean surface. The oxygen coverage is listed in fractions of a monolayer. The top spectrum results from an exposure of 10<sup>13</sup> i. It shows a very strong Ga line and deplection of As.


- Fig. 7 The ratio of the integrated intensities in the Ga-Specific and As-Specific densities of states as a function of oxygen coverage on the cleaved surface.
- Fig. 8 Bistribution of states within the species-specific densities of states for different oxygen coverages on cleaved GaAs(110). a) Ga. b) As. The Ga and As spectra are separately normalized to constant areas. The Ga/As ratio remains constant for all coverages. The values are coverages in monolayers.
- Distribution of states within the species-specific densities of states for different oxygen coverages on sputter-etched taAs(110). The ta and As specific are separately normalized to constant areas. The ta/As ratio remains constant, except for the top spectrum, which can thus not be compared directly to the others.


Hig. 9


10 the Ga-specific density of states for 1) clean elemental Ga. 2) oxidized elemental Ga. 3) sputter-etched GaAs(110) exposed to 10.11 oxygen, 4) one manolayer of oxygen adsorbed on cleaved GaAs(110), and 5) clean cleaved GaAs(110).


Į.


this to the La-specific density of states for different usygen coverages on cleaved GaAs(110) from Fig. 8a, made by summing the Ga spectra for clean GaAs(110) and for oxidized Ga. Curve 1 oxidized elemental Ga; Curves 2 through 6: fits for 0 = 1.3, 0.65, 0.3, and 0.1 respectively; turve 7. clean cleaved GaAs(110).









































### END DATE FILMED

-83

The second secon

DTIC